

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA11 GREGORY OLIVER, II,  
12 Plaintiff,  
13 vs.  
14

No. C 07-2460 JL

ORDER THAT CASES ARE NOT  
RELATEDC-07-2941 PJH, Maestrini v CCSF  
C-07-2718 WDB, Hwang v CCSF, et al.15 CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN  
16 FRANCISCO, et al.,  
17 Defendants.

18 \_\_\_\_\_ /

19 The Court received Plaintiff's Administrative Motion to relate Cases pursuant to Civil  
20 Local Rule 3-12 and Defendants' opposition to the motion. All parties in the *Oliver* case  
21 have consented to this Court's jurisdiction as provided by 28 U.S.C. §636(c) and Civil Local  
22 Rule 73.

23 Civil Local Rule 3-12(a) provides the following definition of related cases:

24 An action is related to another when:

25 (1) The actions concern substantially the same parties, property, transaction or  
event; and  
26 (2) It appears likely that there will be an unduly burdensome duplication of labor and  
expense or conflicting results if the cases are conducted before different Judges.  
27  
28

1 The Court reviewed the moving and opposing papers and concludes that the cases  
2 should not be related. They involve different plaintiffs. They involve different groups of  
3 defendants. They involve entirely distinct incidents occurring over a period of nine months.  
4 They involve different legal theories. One case involves allegations of false arrest and use  
5 of a baton. Another involves allegations of an unlawful detention, but not an arrest, and the  
6 use of physical force. Yet another involves allegations against two named officers, one who  
7 is not alleged to have been involved in any other incident.

8 No judicial resources would be conserved by relating these cases. There would be  
9 no undue burden on the parties or the Court if they are not related.

10 || Accordingly, the motion to relate cases is denied.

## 11 IT IS SO ORDERED.

12 | DATED: August 14, 2007

James Larson

James Larson  
Chief Magistrate Judge